(Not printed at Government expense)



Congressional Record

Nor L C 1- inditation Bibliotek

of America

proceedings and debates of the 89^{tb} congress, first session

Dr. Frederick Albert Cook - America's Pioneer Polar Pathfinder

EXTENSION OF REMARKS

HON. RICHARD D. McCARTHY OF NEW YORK

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES Wednesday, March 24, 1965

Mr. McCARTHY. Mr. Speaker, this June 10 will mark the 100th anniversary of the birth of a great American explorer, scientist, author, and physician, Dr. Frederick Albert Cook. Dr. Cook will be recalled by many as a central figure in one of the most unfortunate controversies on the American scene, which was the famous dispute that waxed hot more than half a century ago as to who was the first man at the geographical North Pole.

Dr. Cook was written off by many would-be authorities at that time, but history has a way of grinding well the fine sands of truth and fact, divorcing them from emotional arguments and the contemporary pressures of established groups. I find it significant, Mr. Speaker, that over the years more and more acknowledged polar historians, geographers and explorers have come to accept the original findings of Dr. Cook.

It is not my intention to revive this controversy, Mr. Speaker, but only to join the reputable historical groups which are joining together in this centennial year of Dr. Cook's birth to celebrate the occasion, and to renew their requests for an objective appraisal of the accomplishments of this exceptional American pioneer of the Poles—both North and South.

In June the Dr. Frederick A. Cook Society, composed of a distinguished group of Arctic explorers, geographers, oceanographers, historians and interested citizens, will join with the Sullivan County Historical Society and the officials of the town of Delaware to mark the centennial of Dr. Cook's birth.

Dr. Cook was born in the hamlet of Callicoon in Delaware township, Sullivan County, N.Y., on June 10, 1865, the son of a German immigrant doctor who served in the Civil War. He spent much of his life in Erie County, N.Y., and he is buried in Buffalo's Forest Lawn Cemetery.

Among the authorities who have recently called for a re-examination of Dr. Cook's accomplishments is a former Cheektowaga, N.Y., teacher and traveler, John Euller. Mr. Euller, who has written extensively on Polar matters, including several books, was a member of the observation team in the 1964 "Operation Deep Freeze" in the Antarctic. He is a graduate of McGill University's department of geographic studies and is a Fellow of the American Geographical Society.

Mr. Speaker, in the December 1964 issue of Arctic, the Journal of the Arctic Institute of North America, Mr. Euller had a commentary on Dr. Cook. It is significant that this scientific publication has accorded space to recall the accomplishments of this great explorer. Following is Mr. Euller's article:

THE CENTENARY OF THE BIRTH OF FREDERICK

A. Cook

(By John Euller)

The year 1965 will mark the passing of the 100th anniversary of the birth of Frederick A. Cook—physician, traveler, writer, and polar explorer. Cook is doubtlessly best remembered for claiming to be the first man to reach the North Pole. It is equally well remembered that the claim was quickly challenged by Robert E. Peary—naval officer, civil engineer, and also polar explorer. Peary asserted that he and not Cook had been the first to reach the Pole, and furthermore he held Cook's prior claim to be false.

Both men had strong personal motives, and the result was a bitter controversy. Each man's story had its weaknesses, but a majority of the press backed Peary. In a relentless campaign Cook was disputed, ridiculed, and in the end largely—although not unanimously—discredited.

Claim and counter-claim were made in 1909. By 1920, the year Peary died, the storm had subsided. By 1940, the year Cook died, it was all but forgotten, although the original question of who had been first at the Pole was never really resolved. Meanwhile a sizable literature has developed and continues to grow, new facts have come to light, and Cook's cause continues to find support among a small number of skeptics who seek a true verdict in place of what some feel was a decision forced by newspaper propaganda.

Dr. Cook's 100th anniversary is a fitting occasion for a review of the evidence and a restatement of the case. The recognition of Cook's personal achievement is at stake, but a larger issue is to determine—if possible history as it really was and not as some might wish it to have been. The essential requirement—indeed, the only requirement, if justice is to be done—is to restrict the discussion to the pertinent facts and their logical interpretation. Hearsay, pseudo-scientific testimonials, and evidence not directly related to the case should be rejected, although these three categories account for many hundreds of thousands of words in the published literature. From this stringently defined base at least seven arguments emerge that support the view that it was not only possible but very probable that in April 1908 Cook was the first man to reach the North Pole.

1. Feasibility of method: As Peary, Cook adopted Eskimo methods of travel; if they differed in any way, Cook's technique was superior. He used lighter sledges, a smaller party, and lived in part off the land. He was 43 years old, in excellent physical condition, and experienced in polar travel and living. Thus endowed, the journey to the Pole was for him perfectly feasible.

2. Proven ability: Cook's base was at Annoatok in Greenland. From here he traveled to Cape Stallworthy at the northern tip of Axel Heiberg Island, and from this point he started his journey across the polar ice. He planned to return by roughly the same route, but he was carried off course by drifting ice. He returned to land much farther south than he expected and the consequent delay forced him to spend the winter at Cape Hardy on Devon Island. The following spring he sledged back to Annoatok and thence south to Upernavik where he met a Danish ship. The total distance travelled was approximately 2,680 nautical miles. The part of the journey between Annoatok and Cape Stallworthy and the return from the first landfall in the Sverdrup Islands to Upernavik amounts to some 1,640 miles. That Cook made this part of the total journey and that he therefore had the necessary ability for sustained, long-distance artcic travel has never been doubted. It was thus well within his ability to travel the additional 1,040 miles from Cape Stallworthy to the Pole and return.

3. Original descriptions: At the time of Cook's journey no one had ever before seen the region that lies close to the North Pole. When Cook returned he described what he had seen: no land, a continuation of the polar ice pack, essentially a frozen ocean but in a state of continuous motion and upheaval. This was not profound, but it was original, and all subsequent accounts, including Peary's, agree with Cook's original descriptions.

4. Unknown westerly drift: When returning south from the Pole heavy overcast forced Cook to navigate by dead reckoning. He laid his course to take into account what he thought to be a slight eastward drift of the ice. It was not then known that in the region where Cook traveled, the ice drifts toward the west. Cook was thus carried 100 miles west of his expected landfall, and the consequent delay, already mentioned, forced him to winter at Cape Hardy. Cook could not have invented or anticipated this drift; the error caused by it lends great credibility to his account of the journey. Furthermore, the amount of the offset suggests that he began his return journey at a point north of the Queen Elizabeth Islands.

5. Ice islands: In 88° North Cook saw an unusual ice feature: a flat-topped mass of ice, higher and therefore thicker than ordi-nary sea ice, and with an upper surface marked by undulations or waves. What Cook had discovered was an ice island, one of the tabular masses of ice that are now known to have their origin in the ice shelves that rim parts of the northern coast of Ellesmere Island. The ice islands are known to drift in the area of the Arctic Ocean be-tween Alaska and the North Pole in a slow clockwise motion, moving southward along the west side of the Queen Elizabeth Islands. The discovery was incidental to Cook's main purpose and was forgotten until 40 years later when the ice islands were rediscovered during an aerial reconnaissance, but again we have something Cook could not have in-vented and his account gains credibility from it.

6. Bradley Land: When marching across the ice Cook saw in 85° North what he thought to be land, far distant and to the west. He named it Bradley Land in honor of his benefactor. Curiously, 2 years before Peary had made a similar discovery, roughly 150 miles to the south. Neither man could possibly strengthen his claim to the Pole by falsely reporting the discovery of land; yet neither Bradley Land nor Peary's Crocker Land was ever seen again. Were both men liars? A more plausible explanation is that both had sighted an ice island, possibly the same one, but higher and more massive than Cook's first discovery. The 150-mile dis-tance between the two sightings does not contradict the observed drift of present-day ice islands. Ironically the two rivals cor-roborate each other. 7. A consistent narrative. Cook's published

account of his journey to the pole has never been seriously refuted on the basis of in-ternal evidence. This in itself is not remarkable, since he was its sole author and had ample time to prepare it. But in the 50 years that have passed since it was written, the external evidence cited above has come to light. Cook could not have invented his accurate descriptions of the north polar region, of ice islands, or contrived to have himself set off course by an undiscovered drift. This becomes an argument in itself. How were all these circumstances incor-porated into his account? It is the final and perhaps strongest argument for its authenticity.

To summarize the main points: a feasible method of travel, a proven ability to make extended arctic journeys, the first correct descriptions of the area around the North Pole, discovery of a westerly drift of the ice northwest of the Canadian Arctic Archipelago, discovery and accurate description of ice islands, corroboration by Peary in the matter of Bradley and Crocker Lands, and a logical narrative consistent with external ex post facto evidence.

Has the case been proved? The answer is still "No." The exploits of Cook and Peary were long, lone journeys over moving ice; no monuments could be erected, no competent witness accompanied either man. Neither man's claim is subject to positive proof-and never will be. Each man's claim must rest on his word and on the logical consistency of his story supported by whatever external evidence can be adduced. The case for Cook is strong and should be reviewed by fairminded men.

At Dr. Cook's 100th anniversary it is perhaps late to seek a restoration of his claim— but it is not too late. The editor of his claim— but it is not too late. The editor of his last book said this about the explorer: "His tragedy paralleled that of Columbus. He was rejected, despised * * * and neglected. Co-lumbus turned to divine support; Dr. Cook relied on the innate sense of justice in men."

EXTENSION OF REMARKS OF

HON. RICHARD D. McCARTHY OF NEW YORK

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Tuesday, May 11, 1965

DR. FREDERICK ALBERT COOK

(Mr. McCARTHY (at the request of Mr. KREBS) was granted permission to extend his remarks at this point in the RECORD and to include extraneous matter.)

Mr. McCARTHY. Mr. Speaker, last March I noted that this was the centennial year of the birth of one of the greatest of American polar explorers, Dr. Frederick Albert Cook. At that time I inserted in the RECORD an article in the highly reputable Journal of the Arctic Institute of North America, which called for a reopening of a scientific study of the North Polar expedition of Dr. Cook.

The case for Dr. Cook is strong and should be reviewed by fair minded men-

The article declared. Accordingly, as the 100th anniversary celebration ap-proaches, I am gratified to know that the New York State Legislature has seen fit to memorialize this outstanding yet largely unrecognized son of the Empire State, whose remains lie in Forest Lawn in Erie County.

The legislature has memorialized the Governor to proclaim Thursday, June 10, as Dr. Frederick A. Cook Centennial Day in New York State, urging appropriate ceremonies. The people of Delaware Township in Sullivan County have organized a centennial committee, and the town has authorized a historic marker which will be erected at Dr. Cook's birthplace. A civic celebration will be held June 13.

I join with my fellow citizens in honoring the memory of this great explorer, who gave two decades of his life to further our knowledge of the uttermost ends of the earth in both the North and South Polar regions. If there is no objection. I would like to insert the following resolution of the New York Legislature sponsored by my good friend and able colleague, Mrs. Dorothy A. Rose:

RESOLUTION 165

Concurrent resolution of the senate and assembly memorializing His Excellency, Gov. Nelson A. Rockfeller, to proclaim Thursday, June 10, 1965, as Dr. Frederick A. Cook Centennial Day in New York State (By Mrs. Dorothy A. Rose)

Whereas Dr. Frederick Albert Cook was born 100 years ago this June 10 in the hamlet of Hortonville, Sullivan County, in the State of New York; and Whereas Dr. Cook is acknowledged as being

a pioneer American polar explorer, a physician and scientist who participated in the early expeditions in both the Arctic and Antarctic, a writer, author of several books, lecturer and traveler; and

Whereas Dr. Cook's accomplishments have been acknowledged by various scientific and geographic societies, including knighthood by Leopold, King of the Belgians, and a gold medal presented by the King of Denmark; and

Whereas Dr. Cook was given honor by the giants of polar exploration of his day, including the discoverer of the South Pole, Roald Amundsen, and his two decades of service toward the expansion of geography and science in both polar regions have earned him an important place in polar history; and

Whereas the fruits of his 20 years in the farthest reaches of the earth resulted in his reaching, on April 21, 1908, the geo-graphical North Pole, and the subsequent recognition of this feat by the Royal Danish Geographical Society and the University of Copenhagen, whose honors remain in force; and

Whereas such authoritative sources as Steller's Atlas and the Italian Military Polar Institute have joined with many polar his-torians, explorers, and scientists in recog-nizing Dr. Cook as the discoverer of the North Pole; and

Whereas recent studies and explorations of the polar ice cap tend to corroborate the original observations made by Dr. Cook 56 years ago, and recognized proceedings such as the journal of the Italian Geographical Society and the journal of the Arctic Institute of North America have called for a serious study

of his polar expedition; and Whereas a group of explorers, educators, oceanographers, and students of polar ex-ploration have joined to form the Dr. Fred-erick Albert Cook Society, nonprofit educational organization seeking to gain official recognition for the scientific and geographic

recognition for the scientific and geographic accomplishments of Dr. Cook; and Whereas on June 10 next in the commu-nity of Callicoon, county of Delaware, Sulli-van County, the society will be joined by the officials of the township and the Sullivan County Historical Society in celebrating the centancial of Dr. Cook's birth: and centennial of Dr. Cook's birth; and Whereas the Legislature of the State of

New York also seeks to honor the accomplish-ments of this native son who passed to his reward in his 75th year on August 5, 1940 and who is now buried in Forest Lawn Cemetery, Buffalo, Erie County: Now, therefore, be it.

Resolved (if the senate concur), That Gov. Nelson A. Rockefeller be and is hereby respectfully memorialized to issue a proclamaspectrully memorialized to issue a proclama-tion designating Thursday, June 10, 1965, as Dr. Frederick A. Cook Centennial Day in New York State and calling upon the people of the State to mark and observe that day with appropriate ceremonies and exercises; and be it further

Resolved (if the senate concur), That-a copy of this resolution be transmitted to His Excellency, Gov. Nelson A. Rockefeller.

By order of the assembly. JOHN T. MCKENNAN,

Clerk.

DR. FREDERICK A. COOK SOCIETY

To gain official recognition for the scientific and geographic accomplishments of the American physician and explorer, Dr. Frederick Albert Cook (1865-1940)

RUSSELL W. GIBBONS P. O. BOX 335 HAMBURG, N. Y. 14075